

REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed July 5, 2005.

Reconsideration of this application in view of the below remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-34 are pending in the application with Claims 1, 6, 11, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 27 and 32 being in independent form.

I. Rejection of Claims 1-34 Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner asserts that the claims are narrative and indefinite, and replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. In response, Claims 1-34 have been amended to clarify language and correct grammatical and idiomatic errors. It should be noted that in the present claims, the phrase "digital information" refers to digital data, such as a video data stream, and should be treated as a separate entity from the watermark digital information, which specifically refers to the watermark data itself. Therefore, the watermark digital information is being inserted into the digital information, i.e., video data stream.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection with respect to Claims 1-34 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

CONCLUSIONS

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all claims presently pending in the application, namely, Claims 1-34 are believed to be in condition for allowance and patentably distinguishable over the art of record.

If the Examiner should have any questions concerning this communication or feels that an interview would be helpful, the Examiner is requested to call Applicant's undersigned attorney at the number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Esatto, Jr.

Registration No. 30,749

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER
400 Garden City Plaza - Ste. 300
Garden City, New York 11530
(516) 742-4343

PJE:DAT:jam